P

App F IX-4

Previous References

1931 .
(Ml)

File No. 194-31

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Later References
Ml 66-33- (1-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 6

Part B for March 1933

SUBJECT

Proposals of the Saraguro ml cl to extend the limits of the Municipality

---

6 The Compiler, ml q
L 6003 & 17-3-33
Notifies the above

Rec'd 23 3 33.

Extending the limits of Saragur Municipality

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 194 of 1931 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
1	Letter no Dy. 3274/29 dated 29/12/32 from the Deputy Commr Mysore district submitting for sanction proposals of the Saragur municipal council, to extend the limits of the Municipality.
P.W.D	1) The object of the proposal is to include within the limits of the Saragur municipality Thogatageri Street and Pairavar Street of Inam Bidagalu village, which are quite close to the municipal limits of Saragur and form continuation of the main street of Saragur.
(Sketch) S	2) The reasons for including the same has been explained in Para 2 to 5 of the Dy.comm's letter.
	3) The Inamdar who was consulted in the matter, has replied to say that he is not agreeable to the proposal and the Sanctioning of the area may be influenced by the Village Panchayet funds and the Panchayet encouraged.
	4) But both the Amildar and the S.D.O. are for inclusion of the area and the Dy.comm agrees with them.
	5) ~~Submitted for orders as to~~ Whether the portion of Inam Bidaguli village, Can be included in the municipal limits against the wishes of the Inamdar is a point for orders. Submitted
83 4/3/33	6) The sketch furnished is not clear as to whether

NOTE—Contn Sheet

L3449

File No 194 of 1931

any industrial portion of the Dharmavaram village will be outside the municipal limits. It was also to be considered how far the finances of the Village Panchayat of the Dharmavaram village will be affected. Information on these points and a complete sketch showing the boundaries and extents of the existing municipality and also the residential and other portions of the Dharmavaram village as also the portion which may reasonably be required to be included in the municipality may be called for.

7) This may be done

11/2/32

8) Submitted with a draft

9) Issue

14/3

Issued vide G.O.Ms.No.647 dated 18.3.32 to the Collector, Anantapur Collector for a detailed sketch and certain other particulars

2

Letter No D3.3274/32 dated 22-7-32 from the Collector, Anantapur forwarding a sketch showing the necessary particulars and a statement showing

3

TYPING SECTION
14 MAR 1932
GENL & REVENUE

limits of Saraguru village

[App F. III—2

Jud File No 194 of 1937 453

Serial No

the financial position of Pudagalmittale panchayat and Mogalthuri

10) Information as to whether any untalled portion of the Inam village will be included in the Saraguru limits and how the finances of the village panchayat will be affected by the proposal, has not been furnished by the Dept. Comr in his letter

11) Dealt with above in para 6 supra.

Jun 3/38

12) The annual income of the village Panchayat of the Dharmasthala village which is now Rs 280 will be reduced by Rs 177 if the proposal is approved. The sketch furnished shows that the area proposed to be included within municipal limits is very near the minority. The D.C. may be requested to send up a draft notification specifying clearly how the boundaries are proposed to be altered and the survey numbers along the boundaries of which the new boundaries of the municipality will run.

13) Draft may be put up accordingly

DS 2/IV/38

DM 5/8

Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-9 31]

323

Extension of the limits of Sargur temple

Our File No	1944	of 1931	General and Revenue Secretariat 45-3

14) What next for appeal

Sm 6/8/32

15) Issue Sm 11/8

TYPING SECTION
11 AUG 1932

To the Dt. Engr
1222 dy 17-8-32

Requests him to send up a ~~report~~ draft notn specifying clearly the boundaries proposed to be altered and giving the S nos along the boundary which the new boundary of the temple will run

---

Sent it 25

Letter no D3 3274/32 dy 24-1-33 from the Dt. Engr forwarding for publication draft notn relating to extension of the limits of Sargur temple

---

16) ~~plse~~ Preliminary notice under the Act must for appeal — Refer paras 12 & 23.

Sm Cb 4/2/33.

17) Please note if the Inamdar's objection can be overruled accg. to the Regn

MSK
6/2/33

There is no provision in the Act in the matter. The Law Dept may be consulted

Sm Cb Sr 6/2

18) Please see if there have been any previous cases of this kind etc

AD 7/2

Serial No

20) There seem to be no previous cases of this kind, where Inamdars have objected to the inclusion of their land in mel boundary.

Dw 2/3/33 CB 3-3-33

21) The Dy Cam's letter Dy No 2-32 explains the reasons for the proposed alteration & the limits of the Sarapu reg:

22) The draft proclamation sent by them for publication may be approved in the circumstances the Inamdar, who has already expressed himself against the proposal, is sure to prefer his objections again. These & the other objections you may need not be considered later on when passing final orders

H Member) 7/3

23) KH 7-3-33

PRG 8-3-33. Dsw

24)

6 To mr engineer, mr S. Dw 3 Dy 17-3-33 Pls are kindly noted for issue

NOTE—Contn Sheet

SECTION 9 MAR 1933 REVENUE & PREVENTIVE

Office of the Second Member of Council 7 MAR 1933 No 7193

File No of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Ml. 194 – 31 – 1

No D3. 3274/29
Encl one file.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 27th February 1932



FROM

S ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

TO

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, *Bangalore*



SIR,

Extending the limits of Sargur Minor Municipality. L. 3449

In forwarding herewith the file of vernacular records received with Memo No.R2. 449/31 dated 10th February 1932 from the Sub Division Officer, Mysore SubDivision regarding the above, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Thogatageri street and Parivara street of Inam Bidagalu village are quite close to the Municipal limits of Sargur and form continuations of the main streets of Sargur separated by a distance of half a mile (vide sketch). The residents of Sargur have to pay Octroi duty on piece goods imported by them and have therefore to sell at a higher price than the merchants in Thogatageri and Parivara streets, who pay no such taxes. This has resulted in a loss to the merchants of Sargur as the people prefer to buy cheaper from the merchants in Thogatageri and Parivara streets close to Sargur.

Mainly for this reason the Municipal Council of Sargur have resolved that Thogatageri and Parivara streets may be included withink the Municipal limits. If this is done, these streets will have the benefits of lighting and sanitary arrangements. The Inamdar of Bidagalu village who was consulted in the matter states in his reply No.87/31-32 dated 26th November 1931 (flag T) that he is not willing to the proposal. But the Amildar of the Taluk (who is also the Ex-officio President of the Sargur Municipal Council) and the Sub Division Officer, Mysore are of opinion that it is desirable in the

interest

interest of both to include Thogatageri and Parivara streets of Bidagalu village within the limits of Sargur Municipality. At present Thogatigeri and Parivarakeri are included in the Village Panchayet limits of Bidagalu.

I agree with them, and request that a notification may kindly be caused to be published in the Mysore Gazette inviting objections if any, as required by Section 8 of the Municipal Regulation.

Notifications in English and Kannada describing the proposed alteration of the Municipal limits and other connected papers accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

NRS

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section mil

File No 194-31

Serial No 2

Diary No of letter replied to L 34449

No 1/6479 Encl Recd

To The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore district

Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(mil.)

the *193*

Sir.

Date of issue 18/8/32

Signed by W. A. S. Rose

With reference to your letter no D3-3274/29 dated 29/2/32 submitting for sanction proposals of the Saragur road to extend the limits of the Municipality I am directed to observe that the sketch enclosed with the recent is not clear as to whether any inhabited portion of the Kaira Village will be outside the municipal limits and to request you to kindly arrange to obtain & submit a ~~to submit a sketch prepared~~ sketch showing the boundaries and sheets

List of enclosures

Copied by 14/8/32

Examined by

N. 1-8

of the existing municipality and ~~also~~
the inhabited and other portions
of the Dharan village, as also the
portion thereof proposed to be included
in the municipality. ~~I do I am also~~ to
~~add that~~ information as to how far
the finances of the Village Panchayat of the Dharan village
will be affected by the proposal may
also be furnished. The papers received
with your letter are herewith returned
for reference and retransmission.

I have etc.

AM/1413
For Financial Secy to Govt
Sd
1973

Mr 194-31-3

M.29.
Case file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 22nd July *1932*

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 453 26 JULY 1932 L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

S ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY 25 JULY 1932 No.

Extending the limits of Sargur Minor Municipality,Heggadadevankote Taluk.

AA WS 357

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L. 6479/Ml.194-31-2 dated 14/18th March 1932 re the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the sketch showing the necessary particulars, as required in your letter under advertance.

A statement showing the financial position of Togatagere proposed to be included within Municipal limits is also enclosed.

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to include Togatagere within the Municipal limits and cause a Notification to be issued to that effect.

The connected records received with the President's report No.12/31-32 dated 14th July 1932 accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wajid.

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

A.N.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section 2nd
File No 494-31.
Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to

No L/1222 Encl Records

To The Supdt. Cus. Mysore

Sir;

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no O-3-3274/1931 22-2-32 re the extension of the limits of Sargur range, I am directed to request you to be so good as to send up a draft notification specifying clearly the boundaries proposed to be altered and the survey numbers along the boundaries which the new boundaries of the range will run

The records are returned herewith for reference & return

Yours obt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 17/8/32

Signed by Mr A.S. Rose

List of enclosures

Copied by

Mr 11/8/32. Ley

Examined by

Encl
8/8/32

W 178.

ml 194-31-5

No. D3. 3274/29.
Enc. one file.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 24th January 1933.

From

C.S. Kuppuswamiengar, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

GEN & REV SECTT. 3313 28 JAN 1933 L.S.G. BRANCH

To

GENERAL SECRETARY 27 JAN 1933 No. L-55

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

27/1/33

Sir,

Extending the Municipal limits of Sargur Municipality.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.1222/M1. 194-31 dated 17th August 1932 re the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a Notification in English and Kannada describing the boundaries proposed and to request that the same may kindly be caused to be notified in the Mysore Gazette.

Connected records received with report No.84/32-33 dated 5th January 1933 from the President accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

A.N.

L 6003 ~~Notification~~ Proclamation Ml 194-31-6

Dy 17-3-33

~~Notice is hereby given to all concerned~~ under Sec 8 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation Act Regn, 1918, as amended from time to time, it is ~~notified~~ hereby announced that it is proposed to alter the limits of ~~present Municipal boundary~~ the Sargur Minor Mfly, Heggadevankote so as to include Thogatageri of Bidagalu village in the vicinity.

Any person who entertains any objection to the proposal ~~proposed alteration of Municipal limits~~ may, within two months from the date of this notification, submit their ~~send his~~ objections in writing with reasons therefor to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District No objections preferred after the foresenbed time will be considered.-

~~The proposed alteration of the~~ boundary of the ~~Sargur~~ Municipality as proposed to be altered ~~Heggadevankote taluk~~ is as follows -

The new boundary starts from the present boundary stone near the parivaraketra, and runs towards the north-west along the Hunsur, Begur road to a length of 220 yards Then turns to the southwest and runs through S No 8 and meets the road leading to Kram Bidagalu village there turns to the south and runs along the eastern boundries of S Nos 83, 84 and 87 up to the bank of Kapala river Then again it turns to the south-east and joins the present Sargur Municipal boundary at Survey No 212 _____

The Map showing the present extension of the boundary will be available to the public for information on all working days between 11 and 5 p M in the Municipal office, Sargur

To the commissioner says for instruction in Byndoor

P T O.

Mr [illegible] for Secl Secy 4/2

the Gazette with the request that 25 extra
copies in English & Kannada may be forwarded to the
DC, Mysore.

memo no

dtd

dtg 4 the DC, Mysore with reference to correspondence
with his letter no 03-3274/25 dtd 24-1-33, the
~~records and orders herewith~~ with the request that # Objections if any
~~action under Regn may be taken~~. The records accompany.
proposal which may be read before making
~~forwarded to Govt~~, with your remarks
thereon

B.O

Asst Col Secy

Dy

ಶ್ರೀನಿಧಿಪತ್ರಿಕೆ

ಮುರ್ಕಿನಿಲಯಚಿಕ್ಕಮಲೀಪುರ್ದ ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿರಾಜಾಚಾರ್ಯ
ರವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಮುರ್ಕಿನಿಲಯ
ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯು ಶ್ರೀಗಳವರ ಕೆಳಗಿನವರೆಗೂ ಇರುವಂತೆ
ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಗಳವರ ಇಷ್ಟಾನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಯೂ ಪ್ರಕಾಶಿಸಲ್ಪಡುವ
ಮುಂತಾದವೆಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಲಯದವರು
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮುಂತಾದವುಗಳನ್ನು
ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗೂ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಭಿಲಾಷೆಗೆ ವಿರೋಧಾಭಾಸ
ವಿರುವವರು, ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾರದರ್ಶಿಸಿ ತೋರಿ
ಸುವ ಸುಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣರವರು ತಿಪ್ಪಾರ್ಯರ ತೆಪ್ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಂಡಿರುವ
ಬಗೆಗೆ ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ವಿರೋಧ
ಚಿಂತನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಳಪಳನಾಗಿ ತಳ್ಳುವಿಸಿ ಮೊತಬೇಡವೆಂಬ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ
ವಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಅಲ್ಲಗಳೆಯಲಾಗದ ಕೆಲವು ವಿರೋಧಾರ್ಹ
ಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವರು.

ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ಮೂರನೆಯದೆಂದು ಉಪ್ಪಿಲಿಶಿಷ್ಯರವರು
ಮುರ್ಕಿನಿಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಹೋಗುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಪರಿವಾರದವರೇ ಮೂರಾಲಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ
ಪಾಂಡವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತಮೂರ್ತಿಗೆ ತುಲಾಭಾರವು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದ
ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಿಯವೆಂದೂ ಮತ್ತು ೩೨೦ ಗಜದಷ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣವಿದ್ದು ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಶ್ರೇಷ್ಠವಾದ
ವಿಶೇಷಗಳುಳ್ಳ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆಲ್ಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಮತ್ತು
ಇದಾಂಶದ ಗಳುಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರೇ ಹೊಂದಿರುವರೆಂದೂ, ಒಟ್ಟಾಗಿ
ಇದಾಗಿ ಬಹುದೊಡ್ಡ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣವು ಸುಮಾರು ೪೩,೪೪ ಮತ್ತು
೪೭ ಎಕರೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವು
ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಮುಂದಿನ ಸೋಮವಾರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠವು ಸುಮಾರು
೧೫೦ ಎಕರೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮುರ್ಕಿನಿಲಯದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವರು.

ಶ್ರೀಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಹೊಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಮೊದಲು
ಮುರ್ಕಿನಿಲಯದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರ
ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ
ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಮೊದಲಿನ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

Mr. Complete, myrnesym
Mrs.

Byrnes

Rue Reynolds
Mrs. 4/2

English

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L. 6003
Mul. 194-31-6

Dated, Bangalore, 13th March *193* 3

NOTIFICATION

PROCLAMATION.

Under Section 8 of the Municipal Regulation, 1904, as amended from time to time, it is hereby announced that it is proposed to alter the limits of the Sargur Minor Municipality, Heggadadevankote Taluk so as to include Thegatageri of Bidagalu Village in the vicinity.

All persons who entertain any objection to the proposal may, within two months from the date of this notification, submit their objections in writing, with reasons therefor, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. No objections preferred after the prescribed time will be considered.

The boundary of the Municipality as proposed to be altered is as follows:-

The new boundary starts from the present boundary stone near the Parivarakeri, and runs towards the north-west along the Hunsur-Hegur road to a length of 220 yards. Then turns to the southwest and runs through S.No.s and meets the road leading to Inam Bidagalu Village. There turns to the south and runs along the eastern boundaries of S.Nos.83, 84 and 87 up to the bank of Kapala river. Then again it turns to the south-east and joins the present Sargur Municipal boundary at Survey No.212.

The map showing the present extension of the boundary will be available to the public for information on all working days between 11 and 5 P.M. in the Municipal Office, Sargur.

By order,

for General Secretary to Government.

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in the Gazette.

with the request that 25 copies of the Notification in English and Kannada may be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

B.K.V.S.